

A note on a curious formula for Euler's constant

Mathew D. Rogers

Department of Mathematics, University of British Columbia

Vancouver, BC, V6T-1Z2, Canada

matrogers@math.ubc.ca

June 10, 2010

Abstract

In this short note we will use the residue theorem to establish a formula for Euler's constant. In particular, we offer a slightly generalized version of an interesting infinite series due to Flajolet, Gourdon, and Dumas.

1 Properties of γ

Recall that γ is usually defined in terms of the partial sums of the harmonic series:

$$\gamma := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j} - \log n \right) = 0.577215664901 \dots \quad (1.1)$$

While this definition is interesting, it is extremely inefficient for actually calculating the constant numerically. Since Euler's constant arises in many mathematical contexts [5], and since it is often considered to be the most important "special constant" after π and e , it is naturally an interesting problem to find rapidly converging series expansions for γ .

While π and e were both proved to be irrational in the eighteenth century, the arithmetic nature of γ remains a mystery. Recall that Fourier obtained the irrationality of e as a simple consequence of Euler's famous infinite series:

$$e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}. \quad (1.2)$$

While it seems virtually certain that no identities like (1.2) exist for γ , many interesting formulas are scattered throughout the literature. For example, Sondow and

Zudilin proved several rational expansions for γ in [4]. A variety of series expansions involving the Riemann zeta function, and multiple identities containing logarithms also exist (see [2] or [5]). In the following theorem we offer a slightly generalized version of a formula due to Flajolet, Gourdon and Dumas (see page 28 in [1]).

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $x > 0$ and $w > 0$, then the following identity is true:*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = & \frac{w}{2} - \log(x) - w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-xe^{wk}} + w \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{x^k}{e^{wk} - 1} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{w}\right) x^{-2\pi i k/w}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Proof. Let $\phi_w(x)$ be defined by

$$\phi_w(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-xe^{wk}},$$

and suppose that $w > 0$. Since this sum converges uniformly it is easy to calculate the Mellin transform of $\phi_w(x)$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{a-1} \phi_w(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(a)}{1 - e^{-aw}}.$$

Inverting the Mellin integral yields

$$\phi_w(x) = \int_C \frac{\Gamma(a)x^{-a}}{1 - e^{-aw}} da, \quad (1.4)$$

where C is a closed contour which runs vertically along the line $(\frac{1}{2} - i\infty, \frac{1}{2} + i\infty)$, and then encircles the negative half plane. Notice that the integrand in (1.4) has simple poles on the imaginary axis at $a = 2\pi i k/w$ for $k \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$, simple poles at the negative integers, and a double pole at $a = 0$. It follows easily from the residue theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_w(x) = & \operatorname{Res}_{a=0} \left(\frac{\Gamma(a)x^{-a}}{1 - e^{-aw}} \right) + \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{a=2\pi i k/w} \left(\frac{\Gamma(a)x^{-a}}{1 - e^{-aw}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Res}_{a=-k} \left(\frac{\Gamma(a)x^{-a}}{1 - e^{-aw}} \right) \\ = & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{w} - \frac{\log(x)}{w} + \frac{1}{w} \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{w}\right) x^{-2\pi i k/w} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{x^k}{e^{wk} - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the last identity completes the proof. ■

Notice that a wide variety of formulas involving γ , π , and values of the Riemann zeta function at odd integers can be obtained by modifying the definition of $\phi_w(x)$

(for instance by adding arithmetic functions to the sum). As a simple example we can show that

$$6\gamma^2 + \pi^2 = 1 + 12 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \left(e^{-e^k} - e^{-e^{-k}} + 1 \right) - 24 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} [\Gamma'(2\pi i k)] .$$

We will also point out that equation (1.3) reduces to a classical formula involving the exponential integral when w approaches zero [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= -\log(x) - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xe^u} du + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \frac{x^k}{k!} \\ &= -\log(x) - \int_x^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u}}{u} du + \int_0^x \frac{1 - e^{-u}}{u} du \end{aligned}$$

To prove this limiting case, simply observe that the vanishing of the Gamma sum follows immediately from a standard estimate [3]:

$$|\Gamma(2\pi i k/w)| = O\left(e^{-\pi^2 k/w}\right),$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we can recover the formula of Flajolet, Gourdon and Dumas [1] by setting $w = \ln(2)$ in equation (1.3).

Corollary 1.2. *The following identities are true:*

$$\gamma = -2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-e^{2k+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{\sinh(k)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \operatorname{Re} [\Gamma(\pi i k)], \quad (1.5)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-e^k} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{e^k - 1} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} [\Gamma(2\pi i k)]. \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. Equation (1.5) follows from setting $x = e$ and $w = 2$ in (1.3), while (1.6) follows from setting $x = w = 1$. ■

Despite converging rapidly (notice that $\operatorname{Re} [\Gamma(\pi i k)] = O(e^{-\pi^2 k/2})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$) both equations (1.5) and (1.6) suffer from a serious computational drawback. In particular, there is no obvious way to calculate $\Gamma(\pi i k)$ recursively with respect to k . This fact most likely precludes the possibility of using either (1.5) or (1.6) to obtain more than a few thousand decimal digits of γ . As of December 2006, the value of γ was known to more than 116 million digits [6]. Although a computational record may therefore seem far out of reach, I will point out that the first sum in equation (1.5) would only need around 9 terms to reach that level of accuracy (the tenth term is $e^{-e^{21}} \approx 7 \times 10^{-572,754,397} \ll 10^{-116,000,000}$). Thus, it may be a worthwhile endeavor to try to accelerate the convergence of the the second and third sums in both equations (1.5) and (1.6).

Acknowledgements

The author thanks David Boyd, Jonathan Sondow, and Wadim Zudilin for the useful discussions and encouragement. Additionally, the author thanks Jonathan Sondow for offering several useful corrections and references.

References

- [1] Flajolet, P.; Gourdon, X.; Dumas, P. Mellin transforms and asymptotics: harmonic sums. Special volume on mathematical analysis of algorithms. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 144 (1995), no. 1-2, 3–58.
- [2] Gourdon, X. and Sebah, P. Collection of formulae for the Euler constant, Available at <http://numbers.computation.free.fr/Constants/constants.html>.
- [3] Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M. Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Academic Press, 1994.
- [4] Sondow, J. and Zudilin, W. Euler’s constant, q -logarithms, and formulas of Ramanujan and Gosper. Ramanujan J. 12 (2006), no. 2, 225–244.
- [5] Weisstein, Eric W. “Euler-Mascheroni Constant.” From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Euler-MascheroniConstant.html>
- [6] Yee, A. J. “Euler’s Constant-116 Million Digits on a Laptop: New World Record.” <http://www.numberworld.org/euler116m.html>.